





# Electronic Trading and Flash Crashes – Part 1

by Kenneth Kapner President and CEO, GFMI

## Electronic Trading and Flash Crashes – Part 1

Quite often, the media has pointed its fingers at electronic trading as the cause of various flash crashes. When delivering training on Electronic Trading, I often find that people are confused by the various terms and intricacies of this market. I thought it might be a good idea to give an overview of electronic trading and then look at the flash crashes to help explain the connection between them. This article is broken down into two parts: Part I is a primer to electronic trading and Part II discusses trading strategies and the three main flash crashes (May 6, 2010 in the U.S. Equity Markets; October 15, 2014 in the U.S. Treasury market; and October 6, 2016 in Sterling, which some refer to as a "Flash Event").

## **Electronic Trading**

Let's define electronic trading as using a computer-based system to execute orders on behalf of buyers or sellers. In some cases, computer platforms may serve as the market uniting buyers and sellers; in other cases they may be on only one side of the trade. It may be referred to as "automated trading" or "computer-based trading." By that definition, electronic markets have been around for years. Foreign exchange trading was executed via Reuters dealing systems dating back to the 1980s. In today's environment, electronic trading may cover the entire lifecycle of a trade (i.e., no human hands are involved, as it can be initiated via a smart phone and taken electronically all the way through settlement and reporting).

## Algorithmic Trading versus High Frequency Trading

What about Algorithmic Trading (AT), which also may be referred to as Automated Trading, and High Frequency Trading (HFT)? AT uses models to initiate transaction decisions. The decision to buy or sell follows rules such as attaining optimal size, achieving best prices, or leaving minimal impact on the market place, which is commonly called a footprint. Again, this last concept is not new as many traders executing large orders in the 1980s and 1990s would break up their trades among brokers to potentially leave a minimal footprint and not push the price away from them!

Algorithmic trading today usually relies on computer models to assess market opportunities based on a security's price and other relevant data. Sometimes this can be as simple as mean reversion trades, while in other cases it may extend to using external economic data for a form of hedonic pricing.

HFT is generally considered a subset of AT. There is no one definition for HFT. (It is interesting to note that the Treasury paper describing the U.S. Treasury flash crash states "... the term high-frequency trading (HFT) firm is not used given the difficulty in drawing an effective dividing line between those firms that should be included in the category and those that should not."<sup>1</sup>)

HFT is characterized by speed, a lot of turnover within one day, small positions at any one time, the purchase and sale or the sale and purchase of securities within seconds/milliseconds, and a flat/zero position at the end of the trading day. This contrasts to a fundamental portfolio manager who may own a stock for years. How many years has Warren Buffet owned Coca Cola? I don't know the answer but it adds up to a lot of milliseconds, which is defined as one thousandth of a second!



#### **Information Required**

Trading systems need to be exceptionally fast as they have to receive information, make a trading decision, and execute an order. The information processed may include<sup>2</sup>:

- Trade reports and quote changes in the securities or contracts that they trade
- Similar data for instruments that are correlated with the securities that they trade
- Indexes that summarize these data across markets and for various instruments classes
- Changes in limit order books, and
- News releases from companies, governments, and other producers and aggregators of information

## Types of Systems/Platforms/Networks

Since one of the goals of the article is to discuss the flash crash in three different asset classes, I thought it would be a good idea to review the types of platforms/networks that are used in the marketplace. However, terms used and the evolution of the respective markets may be different across geographical boundaries. Therefore, let's approach it from a generic viewpoint.

Examples of networks include Alternative Trading Systems (ATS), Electronic Communication Network (ECN), and Request for Quote (RFQ).

ATS trades Over the Counter (OTC), which is different from an exchange which brings buyers and sellers together. As such, it is required to follow certain local regulations. For example, the SEC imposed Regulation ATS, which differentiated between exchanges and non-exchanges/ATS, in 1998. ATS are regulated in the U.S. as broker/dealers.

ECNs (which are a subset of ATS but not every country uses the term ATS) are electronic systems bringing buyers and sellers together. They tend to be order driven, such as a central limit order book, or CLOB (CLOB is discussed in more detail below). They are found in all three asset categories.

RFQs are platforms where an end user requests a quote and market makers will respond with a corresponding quote. The end user may request a few or many dealers to respond.

#### **Exchange Traded versus OTC Transactions**

Some financial instruments trade (are transacted) on organized exchanges such as equity or futures exchanges, while others, such as fixed income and foreign exchange, trade on OTC markets. Let's briefly examine the differences.

Organized exchanges use a central limit order book or CLOB. Basically, a CLOB uses a matching algorithm to prioritize buy and sell orders based on price and the time the order is received. The "depth" of the book depends on the number of orders currently on the book. These orders are referred to as "resting orders." Let's look at the two tables below which represent two different



theoretical markets. Both tables show buy orders, price, and sell orders. Both the buy and sell orders represent the number of shares associated with each price. (It does not tell us the number of different orders at each price but rather a cumulative number of shares to be bought or sold at each price.) The depth of the orders is based on the number of shares "resting" to be purchased or "resting" to be sold. Clearly, Table 1 indicates the order book has more depth than the order book in the Table 2. The first order book would also be considered more liquid, all else being equal.

Table 1		
Buy Orders	Price	Sell Orders
	100.04	38,000
	100.03	25,000
	100.02	10,000
	100.01	20,000
10,000	100.00	
20,000	99.99	
30,000	99.98	
20,000	99.97	
49,000	99.96	

Additionally, the broker/dealer needs access to the exchange's matching system to enter the trade. Here is an oversimplified diagram showing the initiation of the order to execution:





An alternative method to access the market is referred to as Direct Market Access which allows the client to execute directly with the market using the broker's access, as illustrated below:



OTC orders are bilateral transactions where the end user, such as an insurance company, will deal directly with a dealer versus executing on an exchange. The transaction can be executed over the phone or electronically. As mentioned above, foreign exchange and fixed income fall into this category.

Interestingly, the trading of U.S. Treasuries, often considered one of the most liquid markets in the world, is dominated by a central limit order book offered by two platforms – BrokerTec and eSpeed (http://www.nasdaqomx.com/transactions/trading/treasuries). The participants on these platforms are HFT/Algo traders and traditional Treasury dealers<sup>3</sup>. In addition to the CLOB, there is a bilateral market between dealers and their customers for U.S. Treasuries.

Corporate bonds are a very different animal than U.S. Treasuries. Where U.S. Treasuries are benchmarks and considered very liquid instruments, the market for corporate notes and bonds will very much depend on the issuer. Corporations, such as GE, may have over 200 issues outstanding while others may have only a handful. Just because a company may have a lot of issues outstanding does not automatically mean their notes/bonds are liquid.

With the advent of TRACE<sup>4</sup>, participants realized that some notes/bonds might not trade for weeks! The market's response to this has been to develop over 100 different trading platforms in the U.S. While additional platforms by themselves do not help to enhance liquidity, the realization that market heterogeneity was so significant led many financial intermediaries to look for opportunities to provide transparency to clients and hence win greater trading volume.

As you might imagine, different platforms have better liquidity in some issues while other platforms have better liquidity in other issues. The bottom line is that the market for corporate bonds has become quite fragmented. (The early adopters of electronic trading platforms have quite an advantage in that they were first on the block and end users are familiar with their



systems. This includes MarketAxess® [http://www.marketaxess.com/] and Tradeweb [http://www.tradeweb.com/]).

Another interesting point: U.S. Treasuries will be required to be reported to TRACE starting in July 2017.

Foreign exchange is an OTC market that has a daily volume of over USD 5 trillion (http://www.bis.org/publ/rpfx16.htm?m=6%7C35). It is open 24 hours a day,  $5\frac{1}{2}$  days per week. Originally dominated by bank dealers and voice trading, electronic trading now dominates. However, it also has become a fragmented market. The following is a graph from the BIS report<sup>5</sup> showing the volume of spot FX across different electronic platforms indicating the fragmentation:



Source: MarketFactory.

To say the least, going forward, there will be platforms that survive and others that won't – in both the fixed income and FX electronic trading world. (The electronification of asset classes have evolved at different speeds, no pun attended. To see the state of electronification across asset classes, look at the chart in the Appendix.)

#### Other Considerations

Other aspects of electronic trading include colocation, latency, and what I will call the "vessel for transmission."

Colocation, sometimes abbreviated as colo, is where the servers of the end user are physically located in relation to the matching system/servers for the exchange/CLOB. For example, assume that the servers for the NYSE are located in lower Manhattan. If your servers are in San Francisco,



you would be at a distinct disadvantage versus a server located say in New Jersey, which is right across the river from lower Manhattan. No matter how fast the speed, being closer is better! As the NYSE states on their web page:

"Our liquidity centers in the U.S. (Mahwah, New Jersey) and UK (Basildon, Essex) are among the most robust data center facilities in the world, and they enable customers to co-locate their equipment in an environment designed for capital market continuity and high security.

Colocation in Mahwah offers participants the most direct route to the NYSE Group market data and trading venues, while colocation in Basildon provides the most direct route to ICE exchanges housed at that location." (https://www.nyse.com/connectivity/colo)

I suspect that while streaming a movie or TV show, you have experienced latency. This is when your computer or TV freezes and then (hopefully) starts up a few seconds later. In trading, the moment the algo sends an order to execute a trade, and the lower the latency, the faster the transaction will be executed. This is obviously pretty important in a world of speed, speedier, and speediest!

The vessel for transmission also has to be very fast. Ever see one of those old pictures of Wall Street with the telephone wires overhead? The individual with the information wins first – even back then! As Tabb Forum observes: "Financial markets not only have pushed the transition from horse to carrier pigeon, telegraph, phone, fiber optics, microwave, and, now, laser – they've also financed it." (http://tabbforum.com/opinions/redefining-and-reimagining-speed-in-the-capital-markets?print\_preview=true&single=true).

In Part II of this article we will discuss trading strategies and the different flash crashes/events and determine the connection between them.



## Appendix – State of the Electronification in Various Asset Classes<sup>6</sup>



State of electronification in various asset classes

<sup>1</sup> US Treasuries. <sup>2</sup> European government bonds. <sup>3</sup> Standardised interest rate swaps. <sup>4</sup> Investment grade cash bonds. <sup>5</sup> High-yield cash bonds.

Sources: Greenwich Associates (2014); McKinsey & Company and Greenwich Associates (2013).

#### References

<sup>1</sup> Joint Staff Report: The U.S. Treasury Market October 15, 2014, U.S. Department of the Treasury, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Federal Reserve Bank of New York, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission, July 13, 2015

<sup>2</sup> Sourced from: Trading and Electronic Markets: What Investment Professional Need to Know, Larry Harris CFA, CFA Institute Research Foundation, 19 October 2015

<sup>3</sup> See footnote 1

<sup>4</sup> TRACE or Trade Reporting and Compliance Engine is the "FINRA-developed vehicle that facilitates the mandatory reporting of OTC secondary market transaction in eligible fixed income securities". For more information go to http://www.finra.org/industry/trace

<sup>5</sup> The Sterling 'Flash Event' of 7 October 2016, Markets Committee, Bank For International Settlements, January 2017

<sup>6</sup> Electronic Trading in Fixed Income Markets, January 2016, Markets Committee, Bank for International Settlements



#### About the Author: Kenneth Kapner

Ken Kapner, CEO and President, started Global Financial Markets Institute, Inc. (GFMI) a NASBA certified financial learning and consulting boutique, in 1998. For over two decades, Ken has designed, developed and delivered custom instructor led training courses for a variety of clients including most Federal Government Regulators, Asset Managers, Banks, and Insurance Companies as well as a variety of support functions for these clients. Ken is well-versed in most aspects of the Capital Markets. His specific areas of expertise include derivative products, risk



management, foreign exchange, fixed income, structured finance, and portfolio management.

He has been a Risk Management Advisor to a Mutual Fund's Board of Trustees and has served as an Expert Witness using knowledge of derivatives, trading and risk management.

Prior to starting GFMI in 1998, Ken spent 14 years with the HSBC (Hong Kong and Shanghai Banking Corporation) Group in their Treasury and Capital markets area where he traded a variety of instruments including interest rate derivatives, spot and forward foreign exchange, money markets; managed the balance sheet; sat on the Asset Liability Committee; and was responsible for the overall Treasury activities of the bank. He later headed up HSBC's Global Treasury and Capital Markets Product training for two years in Hong Kong. Specifically, his responsibilities included developing new courses and delivering courses to traders, support staff and relationship managers. In New York, he established a training department for the firms' Securities Division where he was in charge of the MBA Associates Program, continuing education and Section 20 license.

He has co-authored/co-edited seven books on derivatives including The Swaps Handbook and Understanding Swaps.

#### **Publications**

#### Articles

"Doing Your Homework on Individual Equity Futures"; Futures Magazine, March 2002 (with Robert McDonough)

#### Books

1996 Como Entender Los Swaps, (co-author: John Marshall), published by CECSA (a Mexican publishing firm). This is a translated edition of our book Understanding Swaps, but with adaptations to fit the Mexican markets. (289 pages)



1993 The Swaps Market: 2nd edition, Kolb Publishing, 288 pages (co-author: John Marshall, copyright 1993). This book is directed to the graduate business student.

1993 Understanding Swaps, John Wiley & Sons, 270 pages (co-author John Marshall, copyright 1993). This book is directed to the practitioner market and is published as part of Wiley's Finance Series.

1993 1993-94 Supplement to the Swaps Handbook, New York Institute of Finance, a Simon & Schuster Company, 494 pages, (co-authors John Marshall and Ellen Lonergan, copyright 1993). This book is directed to a practitioner audience and is a supplement to The Swaps Handbook. My role was largely that of editor.

1991 1991-92 Supplement to The Swaps Handbook, New York Institute of Finance (Simon & Schuster Professional Information Group), 300+ pages (co-author: John Marshall copyright 1992). This book is directed to a professional practitioner audience and is an annual supplement to The Swaps Handbook.

1990 The Swaps Handbook: Swaps and Related Risk Management Instruments, New York: New York Institute of Finance, a Simon & Schuster Company, 543 pages. (co-author: John Marshall). This book is directed to derivative product professionals.

1988 Understanding Swap Finance, Cincinnati: South Western publishing Company, 155 pages. (co-author John Marshall, copyright 1990). This was the first academic text published on the swaps markets.

#### Affiliations

International Association of Financial Engineers Board of Advisors - 1994 - 2010

Global Association of Risk Professionals

ATD National and New York Chapters



Copyright © 2017 by Global Financial Markets Institute, Inc. PO Box 388 Jericho, NY 11753-0388 +1 516 935 0923 www.GFMI.com